DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 448 673 HE 033 684

AUTHOR Lubinescu, E. S.; Prabhu, R. P.; Terheggen, S. L.

TITLE Mapping Efficient Communication Networks in Higher

Education.

PUB DATE 2000-00-00

NOTE 6p.

PUB TYPE Reports - Descriptive (141) EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS *Communication (Thought Transfer); Educational Theories;

*Higher Education; *Networks

ABSTRACT

Some perspectives are presented that help explain communication networks in institutions of higher education and the factors that impede or facilitate their efficient functioning. The first theory that informs this exploration is that of K. Wieck (1969), which states that communication can be viewed through the lens of "sensemaking." The collective sensemaking of organizational players is based on communication that has been filtered or perceived selectively by key players. The theories of J. Pfeffer suggest that by assessing who holds the information and how much of this information they are willing to divulge, a communication network can be mapped to account for the gatekeeper role in an organization. Notions of coupling based on the work of H. Mintzberg provide a foundation from which to analyze communication networks. These combined perspectives provide an inclusive way of viewing communication networks and mapping a network that provides an institution with the greatest effectiveness and efficiency. (SLD)



Mapping Efficient Communication Networks in **Higher Education**

By

E. S. Lubinescu, R. P. Prabhu, S. L. Terheggen

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- ☐ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

E. Lubinescu

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Mapping Efficient Communication Networks in Higher Education

By: Lubinescu, E.S., Prabhu, R.P., & Terheggen, S.L. 2000

Problem Statement

The purpose of this paper is to understand communication networks in institutions of higher education and the factors that impede or facilitate their efficient functioning. Institutions of higher education have been characterized as an "organized anarchy" and have been viewed as "loosely coupled" systems (Cohen and March, 1974; Weick, 1976). How can effective communication be carried out given these characteristics? Communication entails not only verbal and written communication but also symbols that convey particular meaning to organizational participants (Tierney, 1989). One-way communication can be conceived as a process where the communicator encodes a concept, sends out a message and the decoding is done by the receiver (Schramm, 1965). The communication process can also be described through the marketing lens of a stimulus-response model, where specific stimuli are sent out to participants in the hope of seeking a particular response. (Rothschild, 1987). This paper will focus on understanding essential elements of communication networks in institutions of higher education and point to factors that impede or facilitate it's functioning.

Theoretical Perspectives

Four bodies of literature inform this proposed study, helping to ground our conceptualization. These three theories include Weick's theory of sensemaking, Pfeffer's concept of power, Mintzberg's administrative structure, and Thompson's methods of coupling.

Weick's Sensemaking

Communication can be viewed through the lens of "sensemaking" (Weick, 1969; 1995). According to Weick (1969;1995), people organize to reduce the information uncertainty they face. Participants selectively perceive the environment; they make collective sense of what is happening and retain this in the form of routines. These repeated routines form the process of organizing. This model shifts attention from structure to process and places importance on the individual actors and the relationships between them. The collective sensemaking that organizational players participate in is based on communication that has been filtered or perceived selectively by key players. Effective communication networks provide important tools for organizational change. Given the complexity of the communication process in higher education, it is essential to look at formal as well as informal networks of communication.

Pfeffer's Concept of Power

The concept of power is intrinsically linked to the organizational structure of the institution. "Power is context or relationship specific. A person is not "powerful" or "powerless" in general, but only with respect to other social actors in a specific social relationship" (Pfeffer, 1981). Pfeffer (1981) also states, power is a result of the structure which was created by the division of labor and departmentation. Pfeffer & Salancik



(1974) argue, power is more likely to operate when a resource is scarce or a decision being made is of importance. The most obvious form of power lies in the formalized structure of an institution and with the formal authority or chain of command. In fact, "the first and frequently the most important determinant of governance is the formal hierarchy of evaluation and authority existing in the organization" (Pfeffer, 1978).

Another important form of power proposed by Pfeffer concerns power over information and access. "One's position in the organizational structure profoundly affects the amount of information one possesses, and one's centrality in the communication network" (Pfeffer, 1978). Tied to Pfeffer's conception of power and authority is organizational politics. Organizational politics provide a foundation upon which it is easier to understand the interplay between factions within the institutions. Political power concerns a "push and pull of interests", "shifting coalitions and interest groups", and "withholding of information in a strategic manner" (Pfeffer, 1981). Pfeffer (1981) argues that once "consensus is lost, once disagreements about preferences, technology, and management philosophy emerge, it is very hard to restore the kind of shared perspective and solidarity which is necessary". The political structure is intentional applications of power. The role of gatekeepers in holding on to information and access is important to assess within the structure of higher education. By assessing who holds the information and how much of this information they are willing to divulge, a communication network can be mapped to account for this role in an organization. Accounting for the influence of power can provide solutions as to how effective communication can be undertaken in such an environment.

Mintzberg's Administrative Structure

Mintzberg noted, a simple organizational structure can rely on mutuality and coordination to accomplish basic work, but as the organizational structure gets more complex and the division of labor grows larger, there is a need for "direct supervision". With the induction of an administrative head, a hierarchy is established. Mintzberg's five basic parts of an organization are the operating core, the middle line, the strategic apex, the technostructure, and the support staff. To provide insight into communication within the five basic parts of an organization as detailed by Mintzberg, Thompson (1967) has introduced three ways in which work can be coupled. The first is pooled coupling where independent members share resources. The second is sequential coupling where work is conducted in a "series". The third type is reciprocal coupling where members "feed their work back and forth among themselves; in effect each receives inputs from and provides outputs to the others". These methods of coupling work provide a foundation from which to analyze communication networks.

Conclusion

These combined perspectives provide an inclusive way of viewing communication networks and mapping a network that provides an institution with the greatest effectiveness and efficiency. Communications networks are an extremely visible and functional aspect of higher education. This paper explores the path of communication, the efficiency of communication, and the results of effective and ineffective communication networks in higher education. With increasing budget deficits facing higher education, efficiency is an important indicator. The amount of resources



spent on the process of communication demands a means of knowing the most effective and efficient way to communicate across any type of boundary, whether that boundary is geographical, cultural, or disciplinary. This paper provides a foundation for future research in the area of communication networks in higher education. We are confident research in this area will determine the importance of analyzing communication networks in an effort to make institutions more efficient.



References

- Cohen, M. D., and March, J. D. (1974). Leadership and ambiguity: The American College President, New York: Mcgraw-Hill, 1974,
- Mintzberg, H. (1979). *The five basic parts of the organization*. Prentice- Hall Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey. In *Classics of Organization Theory*. By Shafritz, J.M. & Ott, J.S. (1996). Harcourt Brace College Publishers.
- Pfeffer, J. (1981). Power in Organizations. Marshfield, Mass: Pitman Publishing.
- Pfeffer, J. (1978). Organizational Design. Organizational Behavior Series. Illinois: Harlan Davidson, Inc.
- Pfeffer, J. & Salancik, G.R. (1974). "Organizational Decision Making as a Political Process: The Case of a University Budget." *Administrative Science Quarterly 19*, 453-73.
- Rothschild M. L. (1987). Marketing communications: From fundamentals to strategies. D. C. Heath and company.
- Schramm, W. (1965). How communication works. In *Advertising Management: Selected readings*, Ed. Boyd, W. H., and Newman J. W., Homewood, Ill.
- Thompson, J.D. (1967). Organizations in action. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Tierney, W. G. (1989). Symbolism and presidential perceptions of leadership. *Review of Higher Education*, Vol.12, No.2.
- Weick, K.E. (1969). *The Social Psychology of Organizing*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley (2nd. Edition).
- Weick, K. E. (1976). Educational organizations as loosely coupled systems. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, Vol.26, No.1.
- Weick, K.E. (1995). Sensemaking in Organizations. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.





U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

ely and significant materials of incescu, Radh incescu, Radh cocument Reproduction Service notices is affixed to the document the identified document, plea that the identified document, plea The sample sticker shown beloe extrade to different and the correct DISSEMBLATE FILES MATE MICROPICHE AND IN ELECTRO FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCI	nterest to the equally made available (EDRS). Credent. ase CHECK ONE which be made to the control of the contr	Prable, as Publicate	ion Date: locuments announced in the che, reproduced paper cope of each document, and, pitone and sign at the bottom liteter sharm below will be at Level 28 documents.
ely and significant materials of lives in Education (RIE), are use continuous in Education (RIE), are use continuous Reproduction Service notices is affixed to the document, pleasate the identified to all Level 2A document presentation to reproduce the AND IN ELECTRIC MOREGISTION SUBSCI	nterest to the equality made evalles (EDRS). Creciont. Isse CHECK ONE IN WILL DE PROPERTIES AND INICE AND INICE MEDIA.	Publicational community, disple to users in microfic sit is given to the source of the following three of the following three of sample a stilled to service the source of the following three of the followin	ion Date: locuments announced in the che, reproduced paper cope of each document, and, pitone and sign at the bottom liteter sharm below will be at Level 28 documents.
PERMISSION TO REPROPER MATERIAL PROPERTY CONTROL OF THE MATERIAL P	ually made avail a (EDRS). Cred ant. ase CHECK ONE and will be annual be ann	Jucational community, disble to users in microfic till is given to the source of the following three of the sample	locuments announced in the che, reproduced paper cope of each document, and, pitons and sign at the bottom little therm below will be at the bottom little the bottom below will be at the bottom belo
PERMISSION TO REPROPER MATERIAL PROPERTY CONTROL OF THE MATERIAL P	ually made avail a (EDRS). Cred ant. ase CHECK ONE and will be annual be ann	sble to users in microfic fit is given to the source of the following three of The sample a stitled to the FERMISSION	the, reproduced paper cope of each document, and, plions and sign at the botton lictor therm below will be all Level 28 documents
PERMISSION TO REPROPER MATERIAL PROPERTY CONTROL OF THE MATERIAL P	ually made avail a (EDRS). Cred ant. ase CHECK ONE and will be annual be ann	sble to users in microfic fit is given to the source of the following three of The sample a stitled to the FERMISSION	the, reproduced paper cope of each document, and, plions and sign at the botton lictor therm below will be all Level 28 documents
PERMISSION TO REPRODU DISSEMINATE THIS MATE MICROFICHE AND IN ELECTRO FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCI	PINE AND PINE ONE ONE ONE ONE ONE ONE ONE ONE ONE O	PERMISSION	FIO REPRODUCE AND
PERMISSION TO REPRODU DISSEMBNATE THIS MATE MICROFICHE AND IN ELECTRO FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCI	ICE AND RIAL IN ONIC MEDIA		
HAS BEEN GRANTED			TE THIS MATERIAL IN LY HAS DEEN GRANTED UY
note.		4 = 1 = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	Mole
5011	is some rad is 1919ads.an	5	di.
			CATIONAL RESOURCES FION CENTER (ERIC)
2A ·		2B	
Level 2A		•	Leval 28
and disserting in microfiche and in	electronic media		Level 29 ratease, permitting disearchalism in microfiche andy
i will be processed as indicated provided fluce is granted, but no bex is checked, d	penuain Aji) pa bic penuain Aji) pa bic	permits. scenario di Laval 1.	•
he ERIC microfiche ar electron opyright haider. Exception is mad	ne meara by par de for non-prolit i	radas dinar (dan erdo	מניסלה הוו מונים המפולמולום
	Level 2A Level 2A Level 2A Check have for Layel 2A release, parming and disserting and information and information and information and information and information and information and information, but no box is checked, dispersional and information Center (ERIC) non to ERIC microfiche ar electron pyright holder. Exception is mail	Level 2A Check have for Layel 2A release, parmitting reproduction and dissembles in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC erchival collection subsentions only will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality tage is granted, but no box is checked, decuments will be pro- information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permitted existence for electronic media by parapyright hadder. Exception is made for non-profit in response to discrete inquiries. Printed Name	Check have for Layer 2A ratease, parmitting reproduction and disserting and in electronic media for ERIC erchivat collection subsertions only Will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits have is granted, but no box is checked, decuments will be processed at Lavel 1. Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and to ERIC microfiche or electronic media by parsons other than ERIC pyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by fibraries.